



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,264	12/29/2000		Erhan Guven	T1-32148 7390		
23494	7590	09/09/2005	•	EXAMINER		
TEXAS IN	STRUMEN	TS INCORPOR	LEVITAN, DMITRY			
P O BOX 65	5474, M/S 39	999				
DALLAS, T	TX 75265			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ				2662		
				DATE MAILED: 00/00/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/750,264	GUVEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dmitry Levitan	2662		

	Dmitry Levitan	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>9/17/05</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(iii)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); .	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	.,	•	ine issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	· -	,00104 0.40.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	,	// /	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13.		HASSAN KIZOU)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claim 11 amendment includes new limitation :said redundant data are copies of prior data segments having previous sequence numbers to the sequence number of the new data" raising new issues and require new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 09/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Gateways of Vargo reference inherently include modems, because modems are essential to connect a traditional telephone network (PSTN) to Internet as shown on Fig. 1. Applicant's arguments regarding gateways are irrelevant because they are directed to the different types of gateways.

Vargo clearly teaches how a FEC encoding system works on Fig. 7 and relevant text (see the rejection of claims 7 and 1). Applicant's arguments regarding separate data stream are not understood.

Vargo teaches new data segment and oldest block of data as shown on Fig. 7, wherein sequential blocks designating new data segment and oldest blocks are functioning as claimed by Applicant.

Claim 7 limitation "said remaining retained blocks are encoded into said data packet as redundant data block" is rejected in the First and Final Office actions as "said new block of data is encoded in the next data packet as redundant data blocks (level two redundancy 6:5-20)".

Table on the page 18 of the specification is unclear, because it's parameters, like XX, are not disclosed.